



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,624	11/18/2003	David Stinson	049377.0005/ejg	3237
33797 7590 11/24/2008 MILLER THOMPSON, LLP Scotia Plaza 40 King Street West, Suite 5800 TORONTO, ON M5H 3S1 CANADA				
EXAMINER				
PRICE, CRAIG JAMES				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3753				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/24/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/714,624

**Applicant(s)**

STINSON, DAVID

**Examiner**

Craig Price

**Art Unit**

3753

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Craig Price (USPTO).(3) Eric Charron.(2) Eugene Gierczak.(4) C. Donald Brown.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 18 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rabizadeh (5,606,123).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Inventor discussed the differences of the microprocessor of Rabizadeh in comparison with the present invention. Applicant's are considering amending claims to change the scope of intermittently enabling operation of the sensor in claims 1 and 28, and in claim 18, changing the limitation in section (e) to continuously supply power to microprocessor, to overcome the Rabizadeh reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/C. P./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3753

/John Rivell/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753